UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

HOUSTON DIVISION

MOKARAM-LATIF WEST LOOP LTD. . CASE NO. 4:24-CV-3224

PLAINTIFF, .

HOUSTON, TEXAS

V. . WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2024

03:08 P.M. TO 03:58 P.M.

ALI CHOUDHRI,

DEFENDANT. .

.

MOTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE

ELECTRONIC RECORDING OFFICER: NOT IDENTIFIED

CASE MANAGER: LINH THU DO

OFFICIAL INTERPETER: NONE PRESENT

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:

TRINITY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES
1081 Main Street
Surgoinsville, TN 37873
281-782-0802

battshott@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

HOUSTON DIVISION

MOKARAM-LATIF WEST LOOP LTD. . CASE NO. 4:24-CV-3224

CASE NO. 4:24-CV-3225

PLAINTIFF, .

HOUSTON, TEXAS

V. . WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2024

03:08 P.M. TO 03:58 P.M.

ALI CHOUDHRI,

DEFENDANT. .

.

MOTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Appearances:

For the PLAINTIFF: MOKARAM-LATIF

Pro Se

For the DEFENDANT: D. SCOTT FUNK, ESQ.

Gray Reed

1300 Post Oak Boulevard, Ste 2000

Houston, TX 77056

For the DEFENDANT: LONDON ENGLAND, ESQ.

Gray Reed

1601 Elm Street, Suite 4000

Dallas, TX 75201

For OSAMA ABDUL LATIF: T. MICHAEL BALLASES, ESQ.

HooverSlovacek LLP Galleria Tower II

5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200

Houston, TX 77056

Transcription Service: Cheryl L. Battaglia

Trinity Transcription Services

1081 Main Street

Surgoinsville, TN 37873

281-782-0802

1 Houston, Texas; Wednesday, November 13, 2024; 03:08 p.m. THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. 2 3 First, let me apologize to everyone for being late I was in a judge's meeting and unable to exit that 4 5 meeting until just now. The case that we're calling is 24-3224, which is 6 7 Mokaram-Latif West Loop Limited versus Ali Choudri. And then 8 24-3225, which has the same basic style. 9 Calling both cases if you wish to appear on the 10 phone, you'll need to press five, star. If you wish to appear 11 in court, please come forward to the podium and make your 12 appearance. 13 (Pause in the proceeding.) 14 MR. FUNK: Good afternoon, your Honor. Scott Funk and London England with Gray Reed on behalf of Ali Mokaram. 15 16 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Funk. 17 (Pause in the proceeding.) 18 MR. BALLASES: Michael Ballasses on behalf of Osama 19 Abdullatif. 20 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ballasses. 21 (Pause in the proceeding.) MR. CHOUDHRI: If I may, your Honor. My lawyer's not 22 23 I believe he may have been hospitalized. I've been here. 24 calling him. He's not answering his phone. 25 I know he had a medical appointment for a post-

has represented to the Court through his network out there and through experts what his assets are.

A great deal of them are missing. And we can present that to you. There's been sale proceeds in the last few years of almost \$40 million that can't be accounted for. We can present to you net worth statements that he has given to lenders in the hundreds of millions of dollars, positive net worth where he had a claim today, no explanation of where the assets went or why there's a penalty.

We can show you bank statements where he his mother share bank statements where millions of dollars are transferred and floated.

We have, because of the procedural status of the State Court case, as you see from the papers, these are both removals, post-judgment, actually on the morning of the hearing where we're asking Judge Wilson to appoint a receiver for collection with a net worth, negative net worth, affidavits were filed.

We have — and at that point, under State law, the collection efforts get suspended. So our view towards his current assets, transfer of assets, the status of those assets is somewhat blocked. We have some documents we can present to your Honor showing these millions of dollars in missing assets that haven't been reported in State Court. And we'd just ask your Honor to consider, well, let me back up, Judge, if you will indulge me just a little. Because this is fairly complicated long history.

2.3

But, I don't want to go into too much detail with you. But I can show you and present to you evidence showing you the extraordinary bad faith in which these net worth affidavits were filed, including these net worth affidavits and missing documents and the like, and how that suspends the State Court efforts.

I can show you that pattern of the delay of this hearing that's supposed to be heard promptly in State Court. We're 11 months forward after January still trying to get it heard with another month of removal.

And I would ask your Honor to consider why. Why would a man do that? He's trying to prevent collection. And this history of transferring assets, stealing assets, the underlying judgment in my client's case is a fraud judgment from stealing assets from the commonly-owned company.

With this pattern and this history, and these lies to the Court, with these assets have been transferred into monies, I ask why are these constant delays? What else is happening?

Your Honor, in the Bankruptcy Court in the Western District of Texas for Texas REIT, that's one of the companies that Mr. Choudhri owns part of. It's the company that my client owns a part of that was the underlying cause of this litigation.

There's two major properties that are subject to that bankruptcy, at what used to be a Walgreens in a strip center on

Again, that money could be transferred, lost, and

already tried to remove it to Federal Court and had it

MR. FUNK: The delay tactics were so extreme in State Court, Judge Wilson entered a detailed order about if and when we wanted a another continuance, he had to do it. And he violated that order, yet again. And the Judge sanctioned him. And he sanctioned him monetary sanctions, \$11,000 had to be paid. They were paid.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That hasn't deterred him from filing yet another wrongful removal notice in this case. And — and I suggest here, your Honor, what he wants is time, the monetary sanctions, the other part in it, what he needs and wants his

```
9
 1
    time.
 2
               THE COURT:
                          I'm not here on the —
                          And if your Honor doesn't address this —
 3
              MR. FUNK:
               THE COURT: I'm not here on the sanctions. I'm not
 4
 5
    here on sanctions.
                          Well —
 6
              MR. FUNK:
 7
               THE COURT: I'm here on whether to remand the case.
                          I \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow I understand. But what I'm
 8
 9
    getting to is, if — if he's allowed to engage in these delay
10
    tactics and have that time, he's going to continue doing this.
               If you — if you don't address it on an emergency
11
12
    basis, he gets what he wants. And it rewards the bad conduct,
13
    the bad faith removals, the time that he wants and needs versus
14
    all the other things that are out there.
15
               So I'd just pleaded to your Honor to consider that in
16
    the big picture that it's just not appropriate to allow someone
17
    to keep doing this. And he has no good faith basis whatsoever
18
    for removal.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20
              Mr. Choudhri, where's the net worth information that
21
    we required to be produced today?
22
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Well, your Honor, the net worth —
23
    may — may I respond to?
24
               THE COURT: I want to know where - I required at
    this hearing that you prove the validity of your net worth
```

affidavit, right? So where is that?

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHOUDHRI: I have the thousands of pages of backup in documents, your Honor, about my net worth. And I filed an affidavit to supersede the judgment. And your Honor has —

5 **THE COURT:** And I ask where's the back-up? Do you 6 have the back-up here?

7 MR. CHOUDHRI: I have it in my computer that I 8 have —

THE COURT: And what — what — well, I required it to be produced here. If it's in your computer, I'm not sure what that means.

What back-up do you have to back-up your net worth affidavit?

MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, I have a lot of documents.

I can put Mr. Osama Abdul's here on the stand. If I may just respond to the — their — their comments, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may in a minute.

Right now I want to know where — whether you complied with the order.

MR. CHOUDHRI: I filed a net worth underwrote affidavit on file, your Honor, in the State Court case.

THE COURT: I know. And I required you, as part of my order, to bring the information to prove its validity. I required you to bring that today.

MR. CHOUDHRI: I'm sorry, your Honor. I was not

- 12 1 THE COURT: Okav. 2 MR. CHOUDHRI: Your — your Honor, if I may just 3 respond. So, your Honor, Osama Abdullatif recruited, sponsored 4 5 litigation with my ex-wife. And filed this divorce action in 2015, and sued multiple people. George Lee (phonetic), my mom, 6 7 (indiscernible) Serena (phonetic) Yu (phonetic), many, many 8 individuals, 32 Defendants, and filed several dozen les 9 pendenses. 10 Four years later, we went to trial. And the Court found that I was divorced as of 2012. It was void ab initio. 11 12 All the *les pendenses*, the fraudulent liens were voided. 13 was sponsored litigation. 14 I have all the evidence with me, your Honor. Number 15 two, your Honor — 16 THE COURT: I thought there was a final judgment 17 against you. Is that incorrect? 18 MR. CHOUDHRI: There is a final judgment that is 19 superseded. 20 THE COURT: It is superseded what? By your net worth 21 affidavit. 22 MR. CHOUDHRI: It is superseded by my net worth 23 affidavit.
 - And that is what

THE COURT: Yeah. But there was a final judgment.

1 MR. CHOUDHRI: And, your Honor, there's a lot — 2 THE COURT: The underlying information that led to 3 the final judgment is pretty irrelevant. I'm not an appellate There's a final judgment against you. 4 5 You've attempted to supersede it with a net worth 6 affidavit. I required you to bring the net worth affidavit 7 information here. But why am I — why do I care about the background of 8 9 a judgment that has been issued? It's the final judgment of 10 the State Court. 11 MR. CHOUDHRI: No, your Honor. We're talking about two different things. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. CHOUDHRI: What I'm trying to tell your Honor, is 15 in your Court, this court, Galleria and West Loop Investments 16 was in bankruptcy. 17 THE COURT: Right. 18 MR. CHOUDHRI: And there was a fraudulent lien filed 19 by this man, a fraudulent lien on the 50 Briar Hollow Property. 20 And then Veritex (phonetic) foreclosed. My understanding is 21 they foreclosed on the property. 22 Osama Abdullatif owns the claim of Ali Mokaram. 23 There's a — there's an agreement where all of Mr. Funk's fees 24 are paid by Osama. They filed a proof of claim in the Western 25 District claiming all my entities, including my mom and Texas

```
1
    REIT, and all these entities are all alter egos of me.
 2
    mom is an alter eqo. And Texas REIT is an alter eqo.
 3
              There's — there's been several bankruptcy filings
    because of this — this tactics that they've — they've —
 4
 5
    they — they've put on me and my family and made me — your
 6
    Honor, on May 31, I came, your Honor, I was in Court or on
 7
    the - on the phone.
              And on June the 2<sup>nd</sup>, I had a stroke. He's filed
 8
 9
    fraudulent liens on all my properties. First, he did it
10
    through the fake, false pro-divorce action.
11
              Finally, Greg Acosta (phonetic) was able to go to the
12
    Court of Appeals and affirm the judgment. And they're
13
    appealing it again to the Supreme Court. They filed - they
14
    sued 32 companies, including Serena, your — your next door
15
    neighbor.
16
              This is what they've done in the past. And they
17
    continue to do it. George Lee -
18
              THE COURT: Just —
              MR. CHOUDHRI: George Lee —
19
20
              THE COURT: I — I recognize Serena, who is named in
21
    the pleadings. Serena Rue — Yu was my next door neighbor
22
    roughly 15 years ago. And I haven't spoken to her since. I'm
2.3
    not —
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I'm — I'm not asking you either.
24
25
```

Well, I'm just telling you, there's —

```
16
 1
    judgment?
 2
              MR. CHOUDHRI: They're —
 3
              THE COURT: Let's back up.
 4
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Your — your Honor, if I may just
 5
    finish.
 6
              THE COURT: I may misunderstand some basic facts.
 7
              Isn't there a State Court judgment against you that
 8
    is a final judgment that's finished?
 9
              MR. CHOUDHRI: That's on appeal, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: It's on appeal.
11
              MR. CHOUDHRI:
                             That's the issue.
12
              THE COURT: But it's a final judgment.
13
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, sir. It is a final —
14
                         And you have the right to supersede it
              THE COURT:
15
    under Texas law under certain circumstances.
16
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, sir.
17
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Yeah.
18
19
              THE COURT: And so the Court, as I understood it, the
20
    Court that issued the judgment was going to determine whether
21
    you had property superseded. And that's the hearing that
22
    doesn't take place because this gets removed.
23
              Is that about right?
24
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, George Lee filed a alter
    ego lawsuit against the Debtor in the Bankruptcy -
```

1 THE COURT: Yeah. You want to talk about that. 2 Let's start talking about what I want to talk about. 3 Am I right that the State Court entered a final 4 judgment? 5 MR. CHOUDHRI: The — 6 THE COURT: Am I right that you tried to supersede it 7 with a net worth affidavit? Am I right that the State Court 8 scheduled a hearing on whether that was valid or not. 9 MR. CHOUDHRI: That is all 100 percent accurate, your 10 Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. CHOUDHRI: The — the Bankruptcy Court, there was 13 not a response filed to the remand when the proof of claim by 14 Osama Abdullatiff, and John Quinlan, and Omar Khawaja was 15 filed. 16 Omar Khawaja and Osama Abdullatif had made an 17 agreement with a former wife that whatever she — they collect, 18 or she collects, they split it. They pay all the legal fees. 19 It's sponsored litigation. They've now sued my mom. 20 They've — George Lee has filed a lawsuit in the Western 21 Bankruptcy District against Texas REIT and myself, claiming I'm 22 an alter eqo. 23 They filed a proof of claim in the Western Bankruptcy 24 District. And they attempted to withdraw it. Judge Robinson would not allow them to withdraw because he was not -- he felt

2.3

contingent liabilities.

1 it was suspicious. He wasn't sure if it was done in good 2 faith.

They filed *les pendenses* on those properties, which is why all these properties have been going — they filed fraudulent liens, not even *les pendenses*, your Honor.

In the 50 Briar Hollow case, Osama's claimed he filed an affidavit of lien in the real property records saying 50 Briar Hollow is an alter ego of me. And that entity — that property could not be sold. And that entity went into bankruptcy and the property was lost.

This is their focus. I cannot even determine the net worth without determining the allegations of alter ego.

Because in the Bankruptcy Court, I have to — my mom, all these other entities, I personally have to go and say these are

Because all of the liabilities of these entities that are in bankruptcy because of him. This man has sued my father, who's passed away. He sued my mom. He sued my sister, who's a physician. He's sued her partners.

There's a — there's a big history that if the facts come out, your Honor, you will — you'll understand. Just recently, there is a — there's a order of disqualification for Jackson Walker in Pillsbury, the co-counsel — and co-counsel, which is sitting right here.

HooverSlovacek also represented, and had a conflict

2.3

waiver that they're violating right now. Osama Abdullatif had a woman — a man working for me, a guy named Chris Wyatt (phonetic) who is — was a sponsor — was a — sponsored by him collecting my documents as my paralegal, but really working for him. And I have evidence of that.

THE COURT: So tell — I'm missing the point.

Why can't the State District Court that issued the judgment decide whether the judgment is superseded or not?

 ${\tt MR.}$ CHOUDHRI: It — it just cannot because there's a gateway issue about the alter ego accusation.

They availed themselves to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court. I did not. I did not make the claims that all my entities are alter ego. They did.

So when they file the proof of claim in the Bankruptcy Court in the Western District, claiming that all my entities, that Texas REIT doesn't — that Texas REIT, LLC is in bankruptcy.

In addition to that, Osama Abdullatif has entered into a joint venture agreement with George Lee. George Lee's filed an adversary right here, your Honor, against me and Texas REIT claiming it's an alter. Cannot determine the net worth until it is determined what — what if the alter ego, if — if those alter ego claims.

24 They have to be first — that — that's the gateway.
25 I mean, that's the problem that they put me in this conundrum.

- Their goal is to put me out of business. And I'm not running away from anything, your Honor.
 - I want everything to be decided in one place. And it's already in that place that they signed under oath. This is a proof of claim, your Honor, that that Osama Abdullatif has filed. And this is also a, if I could hand this to, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Yeah. I'll take it.
- 9 MR. CHOUDHRI: This is also a notice of abstract
 10 judgment. And if you look at the signature page on there, it's
 11 signed under oath by Osama Abdullatif.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Okay. If you want me to read, just let 13 me read it.
- MR. CHOUDHRI: Yeah.
 - THE COURT: What I've been handed is a notice of abstracted judgment with a recordation stamp of February 15th of 2020, RP 202452182, referencing <u>Case 2012-27197A</u>. It's a Notice of Abstracts of Judgment. And I'll read it now.
- 19 (Court reading.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

- 20 (Pause in the proceeding.)
- 21 **THE COURT:** I've read the Abstract of Judgment. Now 22 what do you want me to take from that?
- MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, if you look where it says
 he contends that Galleria West Loop Investments is an alter ego
 of Ali Choudri. And he's attached the legal description of the

(Court reading.)

1 (Pause in the proceeding.) 2 MR. CHOUDHRI: And I just wanted to mention, your 3 Honor, that Serena — So now tell me, where is the pleading in 4 THE COURT: 5 State Court, and the other side can tell me this if they want, that was the subject of the hearing that didn't occur because 6 7 of the removal? What — who was that against? Who was the named 8 9 Defendant. 10 MR. CHOUDHRI: Ali Choudhri, myself, and Texas REIT. 11 THE COURT: And who's in bankruptcy in — 12 MR. CHOUDHRI: Texas REIT is in bankruptcy. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And are they trying to proceed 14 with a hearing against Texas REIT? 15 MR. CHOUDHRI: That is one of — that is —there — 16 in fairness, there's two cases, your Honor. There's a 2012 A 17 case and a 2012 D case. 18 It's from the 2012, which goes back to the Bealle 19 (phonetic) Bank dispute between Mr. Latif and I. The 2012 A 20 case has just me in it. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. CHOUDHRI: Individually. And the 2012 D case has 23 me and, I believe, Dalio, and also Texas REIT is also a named 24 party in that case. 25 So you're saying Texas REIT is a

1 Defendant in the D case or not a Debtor in the D case? 2 MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Can I see the D case, then? 4 MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, sir. 5 Where do I see documents from the D case? 6 MR. CHOUDHRI: It's going to be on the Harris County 7 District — 8 THE COURT: Does anyone have a document here on the D 9 case? And can we — has the stay been lifted in the D case? 10 I'm sorry, has the stay been lifted in the Texas REIT case to 11 allow the State Court action to proceed in the D case? 12 MR. CHOUDHRI: May — may I hand this document to 13 your Honor? 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 (Pause in the proceeding.) 16 MR. CHOUDHRI: This is a proof of claim in that case 17 filed by Osama Abdullatif. 18 This is a claim objection that — that — that I 19 filed against them where they're claiming it's an alter ego. 20 This is a — an order superseding for several million dollars 21 in cash for that — for one of the judgments they're attempting 22 to collect that's fully superseded. And — 23 But I'm trying to understand right now — THE COURT: 24 MR. CHOUDHRI: Yes, your Honor. 25 — my — my questions are simpler, which

- 24 1 is, if the Debtor is a Debtor. And the lawsuit is against the 2 Debtor. And there is a stay against proceeding, I don't know why we're here at all. That's why I need to get a more basic 3 4 understanding. 5 MR. CHOUDHRI: I believe it was something about 6 they're a nominal party is what I heard. 7 THE COURT: Well, I mean — MR. CHOUDHRI: I heard somebody saying that. So I 8 9 don't know what that means. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Let me see some pleadings from the 11 D case to understand whether the Debtor is a Debtor. Counsel? 12 MR. FUNK: May — May I, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Please. 14 (Pause in the proceeding.) 15 The final judgment in the D case. 16 That's docketed at Debtor Exhibit M. MS. ENGLAND: 17 THE COURT: Okay. So the judgment in the D case. 18 Let me read it. 19 (Pause in the proceeding.) 20 THE COURT: So it appears that, if you need this I'll 21 hand it back to you, that the judgement does not include the 22 The Debtor is not a judgment Debtor; is that right? 23 MR. CHOUDHRI: My understanding is that Texas REIT is 24 a party in that case.
- 25 THE COURT: Well, we're post judgment.

by Osama Abdullatif.

THE COURT: That's not the way I read the last two. Let me read it again. I read that it's saying that you are

23

```
1
    liable for their debts, not that they are liable for your
 2
    debts.
 3
              Let me read it again.
 4
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
 5
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I have a deposition —
 6
              THE COURT: Here's the adversary —
 7
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — your Honor, if —
 8
              THE COURT:
                         Here's the adversary complaint. I want
 9
    to see what the document says. This is what you handed me.
10
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Here is a proof of claim by Osama
11
12
    Abdullatif as well, what I handed you.
13
         (Court reading.)
14
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
15
              THE COURT: What is the current status of the
16
    adversary proceeding?
17
              MR. CHOUDHRI: It's pending, your Honor, in the
18
    Western Southern Bankruptcy District. There's also a
19
    hearing —
20
              THE COURT: You said in the Western Southern
21
    Bankruptcy District.
22
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Sorry. I'm so sorry.
23
              THE COURT: That's okay.
24
              MR. CHOUDHRI: I'm really nervous. Sorry.
25
              It's in the Western Bankruptcy Court, Judge Jack
```

- 28 1 Quinlan, and Omar Khawaja, and Osama Abdullatif filed an 2 adversary proceeding in that case, who alleged this alter ego and fraudulent transfer case. 3 4 One of the Defendants that we allege is an alter ego 5 of HREP is — is Texas REIT. Texas REIT was not in bankruptcy 6 when we filed that claim. 7 THE COURT: Yeah. Why don't you answer my question. 8 MR. BALLASES: Sure. 9 THE COURT: What is the status of the adversary 10 proceeding filed — Adversary Proceeding 24-1039 in the Western 11 District of Texas. What's its status? 12 MR. BALLASES: So we filed a proof of claim. 13 learned that there are insufficient assets to pay our - pay 14 our debt. And so we had seeked to remove. And Judge Robinson 15 is having a hearing on it. 16 THE COURT: Now -17 MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor — MR. BALLASES: I can't speak beyond that. 18 19 THE COURT: What is the status of the adversary 20 proceeding? It is open? Closed? 21 MR. BALLASES: It's open. 22 THE COURT: Judgment? No Judgment. 2.3 MR. CHOUDHRI: It's open.
- 24 MR. BALLASES: Yeah. It is open.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. So, has the stay been lifted to

Here are the way that I believe the facts come out.

But I'm not going to reach a final decision right now.

The adversary proceeding that I've been handed up, and again, this is all preliminary, 24-10 — 120 is the case number. The adversary proceeding is 24-1039, seeks to hold in the Bankruptcy Court Texas REIT, which is the Debtor in the Bankruptcy Court, liable for Mr. Choudhri's personal debts.

If Mr. Choudhri is, in fact, liable, as is being alleged in this adversary proceeding, for Texas, excuse me, liable — if Texas REIT is liable for Mr. Choudhri's debts, then a liquidation, or a collection, I should say, of — from Mr. Choudhri of the debts, will diminish his available funds to pay other creditors.

And you don't get to step to the front of the line.

The automatic stay applies. If the automatic stay applies, you can't proceed in State Court. And maybe there's some other result we're going to come to after we hear a full evidentiary record and a full presentation.

But doing this on an emergency basis when it appears that you may be violating the automatic is something I'm not prepared to do today.

MR. FUNK: Can I address?

THE COURT: Mr. Funk, go ahead.

MR. FUNK: Your Honor, the same arguments were made when the case was removed initially. The motion to remand was filed. Judge Robinson considered the motion, granted the

- motion, sent it back down. Judge -
- 2 THE COURT: He can send it back down. That doesn't
- 3 lift the stay.

- 4 MR. FUNK: That's right. Exactly.
- 5 THE COURT: If the stay is in place, there isn't an
- 6 emergency at all, because there's a stay. And I can remand it,
- 7 but you can't proceed.
- 8 And the question that I said it to everybody I was
- 9 going to do in my order. The first thing I'm going to do is
- 10 figure out do we have an emergency? And if there's a stay, we
- 11 don't have a emergency, because nothing can happen.
- 12 MR. FUNK: Please.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Go ahead.
- MR. FUNK: Indulge me, your Honor.
- I I let me get to the part. After that, a
- 16 motion to reconsider the decision was filed.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Right.
- 18 MR. FUNK: All of these arguments including the alter
- 19 ego and the application of the stay, were made by Mr. Choudhri
- 20 to Judge Robinson.
- 21 He ruled on those arguments, including the alter ego
- 22 arguments. And again denied the motion to reconsider. I've
- 23 got the the order is under Cause Number 24-1047. It's
- 24 Docket 36.
- 25 And pages three and four —

```
1
              THE COURT: Has it been — has it been filed as an
 2
    exhibit for this hearing?
 3
              MR. FUNK: It — it was just referenced, your Honor,
    because we asked you to take judicial notice of it. I don't
 4
 5
    think it was filed, was it?
 6
              MS. ENGLAND: No, it was not — it's not appended.
 7
    It was —
              THE COURT: Can I see it?
 8
 9
              MS. ENGLAND: — tied in footnote seven.
10
              THE COURT: Can I see it?
11
              MS. ENGLAND: I don't have a printed copy. I've got
12
    it on my laptop.
13
              THE COURT: I'll look at it on your laptop.
14
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, may I respond? There
15
    again, I don't want to -
16
              THE COURT:
                         Sure.
17
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — conflate the issue, right?
18
              In June — in June, Osama Abdullatif filed a proof of
19
    claim in the Western District. There was a claim objection
20
    made.
21
              Mr. Ballasses and Osama Abdul attempted to withdraw
22
    that. Judge Robinson said no. At that point in time, the
23
    State Court case before this George Lee and the new case was
24
    filed, the — the case was removed from the State Court.
25
              Osama Abdullatif, and George Lee, and Serena Yu are
```

```
33
 1
    working together. I have the evidence of that. That adversary
 2
    was filed. The judge did not — there was no response to
    the — to the motion to remand.
 3
 4
              There was no response because had it —
 5
              THE COURT: Yeah.
 6
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — there was no lawyer who was
 7
    licensed in the Western District, didn't file a response to the
 8
    remand.
 9
              THE COURT: So -
10
              MR. CHOUDHRI: That was limited to —
11
              THE COURT:
                         So —
12
              MR. CHOUDHRI: — the proof of claim.
13
              THE COURT: Is there a reason why you don't want —
14
              MR. CHOUDHRI: Not -
15
              THE COURT: — me to see the transcript or the
16
    findings? That's what I'd like to do.
17
              MR. CHOUDHRI: No, sir. No. I — I — I just wanted
18
    to mention.
19
              THE COURT: See what the judge said.
20
              So go ahead and plug it into the —
21
              MS. ENGLAND: May I approach?
22
              THE COURT: There's a — no. I'm want you — I'm not
23
    going to touch your computer. Plug it in or —
24
              MS. ENGLAND: I'm sorry. It's not plugged in.
```

— dial in to GoToMeeting, one or the

```
34
 1
    other.
 2
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
              MR. BALLASES: The specifics —
 3
              THE COURT: Let's wait. I want to see what you told
 4
 5
    me I was going to see.
 6
              MR. BALLASES: But not, I — I can —
 7
              THE COURT: Have a seat everyone. Everyone have a
 8
    seat.
 9
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
10
              THE COURT: Everyone have a seat.
11
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
              MS. ENGLAND: I'm plugged into the USB. Is there
12
13
    another cable?
14
              THE COURT: It's not a USB. It is a, I forgot the
15
    name of those cable.
16
              MR. BALLASES: HDMI.
17
              THE COURT: HDMI cable. Yeah.
18
         (Voices speaking off the record.)
              THE COURT: It should be in the front. Look in the
19
20
    front door for an HDMI cable.
21
              MR. FUNK: While she's doing that, (indiscernible) a
22
    copy of the order?
23
              THE COURT: No. I'm not going to do anything else.
24
              MR. FUNK:
                         Okay.
25
                           I want to do these in a way that I can
```

```
1
    understand them.
 2
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
              THE COURT: Okay. So what is your deal with the
 3
    automatic stay in the November 8th, 2024 order?
 4
 5
         (Pause in the proceeding.)
              MS. ENGLAND: Your Honor, it — this order does not
 6
 7
    specifically identify either lift stay or automatic stay. It
 8
    addresses the alter ego argument the Mr. Choudhri is raising.
 9
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
10
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me see that. I'll look at
11
    that. But I want to know about the automatic stay.
12
              MS. ENGLAND: I'm scrolling to page three.
13
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
14
              MS. ENGLAND: And beginning in the paragraph —
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
16
              MS. ENGLAND: — "The motion to vacate."
17
          (Court reading.)
18
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Keep scrolling.
20
          (Court reading.)
21
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
         (Court reading.)
24
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
25
              THE COURT:
                           Keep going.
```

```
1
          (Court reading.)
 2
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
 3
              THE COURT: Keep going.
 4
         (Court reading.)
 5
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
 6
              THE COURT:
                           I agree with the fact that they were a
 7
    named party. Doesn't matter if we're post-judgment and they're
 8
    not name in the judgment.
 9
              My concern is, there is a live alter ego claim
10
    brought in the Bankruptcy Court that alleges that Texas REIT
11
    isn't liable for Mr. Choudhri's debts.
12
              It's my belief that if we remand this case, and maybe
13
    we should, that the automatic stay would stop you from
14
    proceeding in the case as a result of that.
15
          (Pause in the proceeding.)
16
              THE COURT: I may be wrong about that.
                                                       I'm willing
17
    to have that dealt with and briefed. But I'm not willing to
18
    rule on an emergency basis when it appears to me that remanding
19
    the case would result in the State Court having jurisdiction
20
    over the case, subject to an automatic stay.
21
              I do not see at this point anyone alleging that the
22
    Bankruptcy Court has, in fact, found the automatic stay doesn't
23
    apply. I, therefore, don't think there's an emergency, which
24
    is what I said I was going to take up first.
25
              So — and if people want to respond to that, go
```

ahead. But I'm inclined to grant a short continuance so that we can understand better what is going on.

MR. BALLASES: So, Judge, just so I'm clear, cause I'm not on one issue. Are — are you referring to the adversary proceeding in the Southern District? Or the adversary proceeding in the Western District?

THE COURT: Adversary proceeding in the Texas REIT case filed in the Western District Texas specifically asks, this is Mr. Lee, who was the Plaintiff, asks the Western District Bankruptcy Court quote,

"To hold that Debtor, that is Texas REIT, is the — is the ego of Choudhri for the purposes of enforcement of a judgment against Choudri and in support, thereof, would respectfully show as follows."

So if Texas REIT is liable for Mr. Choudhri's debts, then the distribution of his assets to one group of creditors to the disadvantage to other groups of creditors, would be a violation of the automatic stay in the Texas REIT case.

Because it's liable for his debts.

(Pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: So the stay probably applies. I'm willing to have it briefed that it doesn't. I'm not willing to decide on what amounts to two days' or three days' notice a really complicated alter ego question that controls whether

1 this is an emergency. 2 That's my worry. So, I am going to hear this 3 quickly. If your lawyer is in — and I have no reason realistically to think he's in the hospital. He certainly 4 5 didn't tell me that was a possibility in her emergency motion. It's certainly a possibility when anybody gets 6 7 hospitalized. If your lawyer is incapacitated, I think he's 8 fairly new on this case. 9 How long's it going to take you to get alternate 10 representation? 11 (Pause in the proceeding.) 12 MR. CHOUDHRI: Your Honor, he's been working on this 13 for a few weeks. I don't know I can give you an answer 14 relatively quickly once I reach out and find out. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. CHOUDHRI: There's another entity, your Honor, 17 that's also in bankruptcy that they've claimed as an alter ego. 18 But I'll just stop talking to you. 19 THE COURT: You really want to make more argument 20 right now? MR. CHOUDHRI: No, sir. I'll stop right not. 21 22 THE COURT: I think that's a prudent move. 23 December 2nd, 9 in the morning, we're going to have a 24 hearing on the motion to remand. 25

Your Honor, on December the 2^{nd}

MR. CHOUDHRI:

MR. BALLASES: I understand. We just — we're fine

23 with this hearing taking place in the morning.

24 **THE COURT:** Yeah. Is that a video hearing or is that

25 a personal hearing?

- MR. BALLASES: That is a Zoom hearing.
- 2 **THE COURT:** It's a Zoom hearing. Great.
- 3 MR. BALLASES: Yes, sir.
- 4 THE COURT: We'll start a nine o'clock. Nine o'clock
- 5 December second, we'll have your motion to remand.
- 6 MR. BALLASES: That's fine. And just to add one more
 7 ting so it's clear on the record. So the George Lee lawsuit
- 8 has nothing to do with myself, my clients, or my co-counsel's
- 9 client.

- 10 **THE COURT:** I don't know if that matters.
- MR. BALLASES: Yeah.
- 12 **THE COURT:** If we we need to worry about
- 13 whether there's an alter ego, non-frivolous allegation that
- 14 might mean that the stay is involved.
- MR. BALLASES: Right. And and, of course, the
- 16 judge the two judgments we're here about having nothing to
- 17 do with Texas REIT.
- 18 **THE COURT:** Well, we don't know that.
- 19 Because if Texas REIT is liable for his debts, then
- 20 they may have a hell of a lot to do with Texas REIT. So you
- 21 | all can brief it. Briefing is due not later that November
- 27th, which is two weeks from today on whether or not the
- 23 automatic stay applies in State Court.
- 24 And any further briefing on the remand that you all
- 25 wish to file is due on the 27^{th} . I'm going to decide the

```
41
    remand question before we decide whether to transfer the case
1
 2
    over to the Western District.
 3
               So we will not take up the transfer motion until
    after we decide remand.
 4
 5
               You all have a good Thanksgiving. We'll see you all
    on the 2^{nd} at 9 o'clock. Thank you.
 6
 7
               MR. CHOUDHRI: Thank you, Judge.
 8
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 9
          (This proceeding was adjourned at 03:57 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
                               CERTIFICATION
14
    I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
15
    electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-
16
    entitled matter.
         /s/Cheryl L. Battaglia
17
                                              November 20, 2024
         Transcriber
18
                                              Date
19
    4:24-CV-3224
20
    11/13/2024 - 11/20/24
```